

THE BISHOP-PHELPS-BOLLOBÁS PROPERTIES IN COMPLEX HILBERT SPACES

YUN SUNG CHOI, SHELDON DANTAS, AND MINGU JUNG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property* for various classes of operators on complex Hilbert spaces, which is a stronger property than the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property. We also deal with analogous problem by replacing the norm of an operator with its numerical radius.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the denseness of norm-attaining operators between Banach spaces was motivated by the celebrated Bishop-Phelps theorem published in 1961 [10]. J. Lindenstrauss showed in 1963 not only that such a denseness does not hold in general, but also that if a Banach space X is reflexive, then it holds for operators from X into an arbitrary Banach space Y [31]. After that, this result was improved by J. Bourgain [14]. He showed that if X is a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodým property, then every bounded (compact) operator T from X into an arbitrary Banach space Y can be approximated by norm-attaining (compact) operators $T + K$, where K is a finite rank operator. A few years later, C. Stegall observed that the above K can be chosen to be a rank one operator [36]. There is a vast literature about this topic and we suggest the reader the survey paper [2].

On the other hand, B. Bollobás refined in 1970 the Bishop-Phelps theorem quantitatively by showing that both functionals and points where they almost attain the norm can be approximated by norm-attaining functionals and points where they do attain the norm [11]. In 2008, M. Acosta, R. Aron, D. García, and M. Maestre began studying this theorem for operators between Banach spaces X and Y , and introduced the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property (see [3, Definition 1.1]). We say that the pair (X, Y) has the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property* (BPBp, for short) if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ with $\|T\| = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_X$ satisfy $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon)$, there are $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ with $\|S\| = 1$ and $x_1 \in S_X$ such that

$$\|Sx_1\| = 1, \quad \|x_1 - x_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denotes the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X into Y and S_X the unit sphere of X . When $X = Y$, $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is abbreviated to $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and we simply say that X has the BPBp when the pair (X, X) has the BPBp. With this definition, the refinement given by B. Bollobás means simply that the pair (X, \mathbb{K}) has the BPBp for every Banach space X over the scalar field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Here, we would like to focus on the case when X is a complex Hilbert space H by considering classical operators on H , although there has been an extensive research on this property (see, for example, [5, 6, 20, 28]).

Date: April 17, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B04; Secondary 46B07, 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space; norm attaining operators; Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property.

The authors would like to thank Miguel Martín for kindly answering some inquiries about this topic. Also, they wish to express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for the careful reading of the manuscript and for her/his suggestions. The first author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2015R1D1A1A09059788 and NRF-2018R1A4A1023590). The second author was supported by OPVVV CAAS CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/000077. The third author was supported by NRF (NRF-2015R1D1A1A09059788).

It was showed in 2012 by L. Cheng and Y. Dong that the complex Hilbert space H satisfies the BPBp for normal operators, that is, given $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, a normal operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $\|T\| = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_H$ such that $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \varepsilon$, there exist a normal operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $\|S\| = 1$ and $x_1 \in S_H$ such that $\|Sx_1\| = 1$, $\|x_1 - x_0\| \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon} + \sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}$, and $\|S - T\| \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$ (see [18]). To consider H as a complex space is essential for that proof since spectral theory is used strongly. The analogous result for self-adjoint operators was obtained in 2014 by D. García, H.J. Lee, and M. Maestre [25]. They also proved that H has the BPBp for Schatten-von Neumann operators even with respect to the Schatten p -norm $\sigma_p(\cdot)$. Moreover, H satisfies the BPBp for compact operators as a particular case of a more general result: if X is uniformly convex, then the pair (X, Y) has the BPBp for compact operators for every Banach space Y [20].

In this paper, we study a stronger property, so-called the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property* for operators on complex Hilbert spaces such as positive, self-adjoint, anti-symmetric, unitary, compact, normal, and Schatten-von Neumann operators as well as some intersections between some of these classes. We say that the pair (X, Y) satisfies the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property* (BPBpp, for short) if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ with $\|T\| = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_X$ satisfy $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon)$, there is $S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ with $\|S\| = 1$ such that $\|Sx_0\| = 1$ and $\|S - T\| < \varepsilon$. This property was introduced in [22] (see also [21] for more recent results).

In parallel with the study of denseness of norm-attaining operators, a lot of attention was given also to the study of the denseness of numerical radius attaining operators. O. Toeplitz defined in 1918 the numerical range for matrices which could be naturally extended for bounded operators on the Hilbert space H [37]. The numerical range of a bounded linear operator T is defined by $W(T) = \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in S_H\}$ and its numerical radius by $\nu(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W(T)\} = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle| : x \in S_H\}$, where the symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the inner product on H . Note that ν is a seminorm on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ satisfying $\nu(T) \leq \|T\|$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. It is well-known that for a complex Hilbert space H with dimension greater than 1, we always have $\|T\| \leq 2\nu(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ (see [27], pg. 114), which, on the other hand, it is not true for real Hilbert spaces. Recall that an operator on H attains the numerical radius if there is $x_0 \in S_H$ such that $|\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| = \nu(T)$. These concepts can be extended for a general Banach space (see [8, 32]). For instance, the numerical radius of an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is defined by $\nu(T) = \sup\{|x^*(Tx)| : x \in S_X, x^* \in S_{X^*}, x^*(x) = 1\}$. For a complete background on the numerical range theory, we refer the reader to the classical books [12, 13].

B. Sims showed that every self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space can be approximated by self-adjoint operators each of which attains the numerical radius [35, Theorem 3.9]. I. Berg and B. Sims proved the denseness of numerical radius attaining operators on a uniformly convex space [9]. Also, many Banach spaces, such as $c_0, \ell_1, C(K)$ (where K is a compact Hausdorff space), $L_1(\mu)$, uniformly smooth Banach spaces, and Banach spaces with the Radon-Nikodým property were shown to satisfy the property that the set of the numerical radius attaining operators is dense in the space of all bounded linear operators (see [1, 7, 15, 16, 17]).

Motivated by the BPBp, some authors studied the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for numerical radius by considering the numerical radius of an operator instead of its norm (see, for instance, [24, 26, 30]). We say that a Banach space X has the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for numerical radius* (the BPBp- ν , for short) if given $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $\nu(T) = 1$ and $(x, x^*) \in S_X \times S_{X^*}$ with $x^*(x) = 1$ satisfy

$$|x^*(Tx)| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$$

there exist $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $\nu(S) = 1$ and $(z, z^*) \in S_X \times S_{X^*}$ with $z^*(z) = 1$ such that

$$|z^*(Sz)| = 1, \quad \|z^* - x^*\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|z - x\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

Among other results, a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth complex Banach space satisfies the BPBp- ν (see [30, Corollary 7]). In particular, so do complex Hilbert spaces and complex L_p -spaces with $1 < p < \infty$. Actually, a real Hilbert space and an $L_1(\mu)$ space for every measure μ also satisfy the

BPBp- ν (see [29, Theorem 3.2] and [30, Theorem 9] (or [24, Theorem 9]), respectively). However, every separable infinite dimensional Banach space can be renormed to fail the BPBp- ν ([30, Theorem 17]).

Similarly to the BPBpp, we are interested in studying a stronger property than the BPBp- ν for classical operators on complex Hilbert spaces. To be more precise, we introduce the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property for numerical radius: we say that a Banach space X has the *Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property for numerical radius* (the BPBpp- ν , for short) if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $\nu(T) = 1$ and $(x, x^*) \in S_X \times S_{X^*}$ with $x^*(x) = 1$ satisfy $|x^*(Tx)| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon)$, there is a new operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $\nu(S) = 1$ such that

$$|x^*(Sx)| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

It was recently discovered that if the numerical index of a Banach space X (the numerical index of X is defined by $n(X) = \inf\{\nu(T) : T \in \mathcal{L}(X), \|T\| = 1\}$) is one and X satisfies the BPBpp- ν , then X must be one-dimensional [23]. On the other hand, as we have mentioned before, $L_1(\mu)$ satisfies the BPBp- ν for every measure μ (see [30, Theorem 9]). Thus, since the numerical index of $L_1(\mu)$ is one, $L_1(\mu)$ is an example of a Banach space which has the BPBp- ν but not the BPBpp- ν .

Let us now give the contents of this paper. In Section 2, we recall some properties of a resolution of the identity on a complex Hilbert space, and show a technical result which allows us to transfer the BPBp- ν (resp. the BPBp) to the BPBpp- ν (resp. the BPBpp). In Section 3, we study the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property for some classes of operators defined on a complex Hilbert space as self-adjoint, anti-symmetric, unitary, normal, compact, and Schatten-von Neumann. As a consequence of these results and their proofs, we get the analogous for positive, positive Schatten-von Neumann, compact positive, self-adjoint Schatten-von Neumann, and normal Schatten-von Neumann operators. Finally, in Section 4, we consider similar problems for the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property for numerical radius.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we show some technical results, which we need in discussing the problems that appear in sections 3 and 4. We begin with giving the definition of the BPBp (and BPBp- ν) for a class of operators \mathcal{A} and recall the definition and some properties of the Schatten-von Neumann classes and some basic notation and results from spectral measure. After this, we apply the fact that Hilbert spaces have transitive norms in order to transfer the BPBp- ν (resp. the BPBp) to the BPBpp- ν (resp. the BPBpp).

The definition of the BPBp (resp. the BPBpp) for compact operators already appeared in [20, Definition 1.4] (resp. [21, Definition 5.1]) and the definition of BPBp- ν for $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ appeared in [5, Definition 2.1]. Next, we state, for a Hilbert space H , the definitions of the BPBp (and BPBpp) and the BPBp- ν (and BPBpp- ν) for $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ that we are working with in this paper.

Definition 2.1. Let H be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$.

- (a) We say that H has the BPBp for \mathcal{A} if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|T\| = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_H$ satisfy

$$\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$$

there are $S \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|S\| = 1$ and $x_1 \in S_H$ such that

$$\|Sx_1\| = 1, \quad \|x_1 - x_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

If $x_1 = x_0$, then we say H has the BPBpp for \mathcal{A} .

- (b) We say that H has the BPBp- ν for \mathcal{A} if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\nu(T) = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_H$ satisfy

$$|\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$$

there are $S \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\nu(S) = 1$ and $x_1 \in S_H$ such that

$$|\langle Sx_1, x_1 \rangle| = 1, \quad \|x_1 - x_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

If $x_1 = x_0$, then we say H has the BPBpp- ν for \mathcal{A} .

Let H be a **complex** Hilbert space. For a compact operator $T \neq 0$ on H , the operator $|T|$ has the spectral representation

$$(1) \quad |T| = \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} \lambda_j \langle \cdot, x_j \rangle x_j,$$

where $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\{\lambda_j\}$ is the sequence of non-zero eigenvalues of $|T|$ (arranged in decreasing order and counted according to their multiplicities), and $\{x_j\}$ is the corresponding orthonormal sequence of eigenvectors. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the *Schatten-von Neumann class* $S_p(H)$ consists of all compact operators T with

$$\sigma_p(T) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

$S_p(H)$ is a Banach space endowed with the Schatten p -norm $\sigma_p(\cdot)$. The elements of $S_p(H)$ are called *Schatten-von Neumann operators*. We define $S_\infty(H)$ to be simply $\mathcal{L}(H)$. It is well-known that the Schatten p -norm has the monotonicity property: for $1 \leq p \leq p' \leq \infty$,

$$(2) \quad \|T\| = \sigma_\infty(T) \leq \sigma_{p'}(T) \leq \sigma_p(T) \leq \sigma_1(T).$$

In Theorem 3.1, we prove not only that H has the BPBpp for Schatten-von Neumann operators but also that a given Schatten-von Neumann operator can be approximated by some operator of the same class in the Schatten p -norm (see [25, Theorem 4.1]). To do so, we need the following generalization of the Hölder inequality. Suppose that $1 \leq r, s, t \leq \infty$, $t^{-1} = r^{-1} + s^{-1}$, $R \in S_r(H)$, and $S \in S_s(H)$. Then $RS \in S_t(H)$ and $\sigma_t(RS) \leq \sigma_r(R)\sigma_s(S)$ (see, for example, [33, Theorem 2.3.10]).

Let \mathfrak{M} be a σ -algebra in a set Ω . A *resolution of the identity* (on \mathfrak{M}) is a mapping $E : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ with the following properties:

- (1) $E(\emptyset) = 0, E(\Omega) = \text{Id}_H$.
- (2) Each $E(\omega)$ is a self-adjoint projection.
- (3) $E(\omega' \cap \omega'') = E(\omega')E(\omega'')$.
- (4) If $\omega' \cap \omega'' = \emptyset$, then $E(\omega' \cup \omega'') = E(\omega') + E(\omega'')$.
- (5) For every $x \in H$ and $y \in H$, the set function $E_{x,y}(\omega) = \langle E(\omega)x, y \rangle$ is a complex measure on \mathfrak{M}

(see, for example, [34, Definition 12.17]). Recall that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is normal, then there exists a unique resolution of the identity E on the Borel subsets of $\sigma(T)$, which satisfies

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} z dE(z).$$

Furthermore, every projection $E(\omega)$ commutes with every $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ which commutes with T . Moreover, with the same hypothesis, if $f : \sigma(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded Borel function, $\delta > 0$, $B(\delta)$ denotes the closed disk centered at the origin with radius δ in \mathbb{C} ,

$$N_1 = \int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(\delta)} f(z) dE(z) \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = \int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(\delta)} z dE(z),$$

then

- (1) $\text{ran}E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(\delta)) \subset \overline{\text{ran}T}$.
- (2) $\text{ran}N_1 \subset \text{ran}E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(\delta))$ and $\ker N_1 \supset \text{ran}E(\sigma(T) \cap B(\delta))$. In particular, if $|f(z)| > 0$ for all $z \in \sigma(T) \setminus B(\delta)$, then $\text{ran}N_1 = \text{ran}E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(\delta))$.
- (3) $\text{ran}N_2 \subset \text{ran}E(\sigma(T) \cap B(\delta))$ and $\ker N_2 \supset \text{ran}E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(\delta))$.

This can be found, for example, in [18, Lemma 2.4]. Also, we denote by $f(T)$ the operator

$$\int_{\sigma(T)} f(z) dE(z),$$

where f is a bounded Borel function on $\sigma(T)$. Moreover, we need the following result.

Lemma 2.2. [19, Chapter IX, Proposition 4.1]. If T is a normal operator and $T = \int_{\sigma(T)} z dE(z)$, then T is compact if and only if for every $\delta > 0$, $E(\{z \in \sigma(T) : |z| > \delta\}) = E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(\delta))$ has finite rank.

In order to prove Theorem 2.5, we need the following two lemmas. The first one says the well-known fact that Hilbert spaces have transitive norms. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, we denote by T^* the adjoint operator of T .

Lemma 2.3. ([6, Lemma 2.2]) Let H be a (real or complex) Hilbert space. Given x and y in S_H , there is a surjective isometry $R \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that

$$Rx = y \quad \text{and} \quad \|R - \text{Id}_H\| = \|x - y\|.$$

Lemma 2.4. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Given $x, y \in S_H$, consider the surjective isometry $R \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ from Lemma 2.3. Define $\mathcal{R}_{x,y} : \mathcal{L}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ by $\mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T) := R^* \circ T \circ R$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Then, for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, we have

- (i) $\nu(T) = \nu(\mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T))$ and $\|T\| = \|\mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T)\|$.
- (ii) $\langle Ty, y \rangle = \langle \mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T)(x), x \rangle$ and $\|T(y)\| = \|\mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T)(x)\|$.
- (iii) $\|\mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T) - T\| \leq 2\|x - y\|\|T\|$.

Proof. (i) is clear, because R is a surjective isometry. For (ii), note that

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T)(x), x \rangle = \langle (R^* \circ T \circ R)(x), x \rangle = \langle (T \circ R)(x), Rx \rangle = \langle Ty, y \rangle$$

and $\|\mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T)(x)\| = \|(T \circ R)(x)\| = \|Ty\|$. Finally, (iii) holds since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_{x,y}(T) - T\| &= \|R^* \circ T \circ R - T\| \\ &\leq \|R^* \circ T \circ R - T \circ R\| + \|T \circ R - T\| \\ &\leq \|R^* - \text{Id}_H\| \|T \circ R\| + \|R - \text{Id}_H\| \|T\| \\ &= \|x - y\| \|T\| + \|x - y\| \|T\| \\ &= 2\|x - y\| \|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are ready to prove the desired theorem that we will use in the next sections.

Theorem 2.5. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ be such that H has the BPBp- ν (resp. the BPBp) for \mathcal{A} and suppose that $\mathcal{R}_{x,y}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ for every $x, y \in S_H$, where $\mathcal{R}_{x,y}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.4. Then, H has the BPBpp- ν (resp. the BPBpp) for \mathcal{A} .

Proof. We give a proof for numerical radius. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By hypothesis, we can consider $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\nu(T) = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_H$ satisfy

$$|\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| > 1 - \eta(\varepsilon),$$

there are $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\nu(\tilde{S}) = 1$ and $x_1 \in S_H$ such that

$$|\langle \tilde{S}x_1, x_1 \rangle| = 1, \quad \|x_1 - x_0\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{S} - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

Define $S := \mathcal{R}_{x_0, x_1}(\tilde{S})$. By hypothesis, $S \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|S\| \leq 2\nu(\tilde{S}) = 2$, because the numerical index of a complex Hilbert space H is $1/2$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $|\langle Sx_0, x_0 \rangle| = 1 = \nu(S)$ and

$$\|S - T\| \leq \|S - \tilde{S}\| + \|\tilde{S} - T\| < 4\varepsilon + \varepsilon = 5\varepsilon.$$

□

3. THE BISHOP-PHELPS-BOLLOBÁS POINT PROPERTY

In this section, we prove that a complex Hilbert H satisfies the BPBpp for some classical operators defined on H . It worth mentioning that in Theorem 3.1 the items (a), (h), and (i) can be obtained from [4, Corollary 2.3] (see also [21]) combined with Theorem 2.5 due to the uniform convexity of a Hilbert space and that items (b) and (f) can be shown, with the aid of Theorem 2.5, by using the facts from [25] and [18], respectively. Nevertheless, in what follows, by elaborating a spectral measure technique, we shall give a proof using symbolic calculus which will cover all these results and even more cases (see Proposition 3.2).

Theorem 3.1. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Then,

- (a) H has the BPBpp for operators.
- (b) H has the BPBpp for self-adjoint operators.
- (c) H has the BPBpp for compact self-adjoint operators.
- (d) H has the BPBpp for anti-symmetric operators.
- (e) H has the BPBpp for unitary operators.
- (f) H has the BPBpp for normal operators.
- (g) H has the BPBpp for compact normal operators.
- (h) H has the BPBpp for compact operators.
- (i) H has the BPBpp for Schatten-von Neumann operators.

Proof. Since all the classes of operators that we have in the statement of this theorem satisfy the condition stated in Theorem 2.5, it is enough to show that these classes have the BPBp.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and T be a *positive* operator with norm 1 and $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \varepsilon^2/4$ for some $x_0 \in S_H$. Let $y_0 \in S_H$ be such that $\langle Tx_0, y_0 \rangle > 1 - \varepsilon^2/4$. Since $T \geq 0$, we have that T is self-adjoint and $\sigma(T) \subset [0, \infty)$; hence it follows from [25, Theorem 2.1] that there are a self-adjoint operator $R \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $\|R\| = 1$ and a vector $x_1 \in S_H$ such that

$$\langle Rx_1, x_1 \rangle = 1, \quad \|R - T\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|x_0 - x_1\| < 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|y_0 - x_1\| < 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Indeed, R and x_1 are constructed explicitly as

$$R = E(A) + \int_B z dE(z) \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 = \frac{E(A)x_0}{\|E(A)x_0\|},$$

where E is the spectral measure of $(\sigma(T), \mathcal{B}(\sigma(T)), H)$,

$$A = \{z \in \sigma(T) : z > 1 - \varepsilon\}, \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{z \in \sigma(T) : 0 \leq z \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$$

(notice that, since $T \geq 0$, $A_- = \{z \in \sigma(T) : z < -1 + \varepsilon\} = \emptyset$ and then $y_1 = x_1$ in [25, Theorem 2.1]). Observe that the operator R can be rewritten as $R = Tf(T)$, where $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined as

$$(3) \quad f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \in [0, 1 - \varepsilon], \\ \frac{1}{t} & t \in (1 - \varepsilon, 1] \end{cases}$$

and $f(T)$ denotes the symbolic calculus for T . With these considerations, we can start our proof.

For a general operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $\|T\| = 1$, we suppose that $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \varepsilon^2/4$ for some $x_0 \in S_X$. Take $y_0 \in S_H$ so that $\langle Tx_0, y_0 \rangle > 1 - \varepsilon^2/4$. Consider the factorization $T = U|T|$, where U is a partial isometry. Then,

$$\left\langle |T|x_0, \frac{U^*y_0}{\|U^*y_0\|} \right\rangle \geq \langle |T|x_0, U^*y_0 \rangle = \langle U|T|x_0, y_0 \rangle > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}.$$

By using the first part of the proof, we consider the operator $|T|f(|T|)$, where f is defined in (3), and $x_1 \in S_H$ satisfying

- (i) $\||T|f(|T|\|) = \langle |T|f(|T|)x_1, x_1 \rangle = 1$,
- (ii) $\||T|f(|T|) - |T|\| < \varepsilon$,
- (iii) $\|x_0 - x_1\| < 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, and

$$(iv) \|U^*y_0/\|U^*y_0\| - x_1\| < 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Now consider $S := U|T|f(|T|) = Tf(|T|)$ and notice that $x_1 \in \text{ran}E(A) \subseteq \overline{\text{ran}|T|} = (\ker|T|)^\perp$ which implies that $U^*Ux_1 = x_1$. We then have

$$\langle Sx_1, Ux_1 \rangle = \langle |T|f(|T|x_1), x_1 \rangle = 1$$

which implies $\|S\| = \|Sx_1\| = 1$. Moreover, by (ii), $\|S - T\| = \|U|T|f(|T|) - U|T|\| < \varepsilon$. This proves that H has the BPBp for operators, so we get (a).

Next, we claim that S defined as above is self-adjoint, normal, compact, and Schatten-von Neumann, whenever T is self-adjoint, normal, compact, and Schatten-von Neumann, respectively. We first show it for normal operators. If T is normal, then the partial isometry U , which is actually unitary in this case, can be chosen so that $U|T| = |T|U$ and this implies that $Ug(|T|) = g(|T|)U$ for every bounded Borel function g (see, for example, [34, section 12.24]). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} S^*S &= (f(|T|)T^*)(Tf(|T|)) \\ &= (f(|T|)T)(T^*f(|T|)) \\ &= (f(|T|)U|T|)(f(|T|)T)^* \\ &= (U|T|f(|T|))(U|T|f(|T|))^* = SS^*, \end{aligned}$$

so S is normal. An analogous argument proves that S is self-adjoint when T is self-adjoint. Since the compact and Schatten-von Neumann operators are operator ideals, our claim is achieved. This proves (b), (f), (h), and (i) and also (c) and (g). Notice that (d) is just a consequence of (b) and that (e) is trivial.

Finally, we give a result that we can approximate a Schatten-von Neumann operator $T \in S_p(H)$ not only in the operator norm but also in Schatten p -norm. If $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $T \in S_p(H)$, and $\sigma_p(T) \leq M$, then by [25, Theorem 4.1], $\sigma_p(S - T) < 2\varepsilon M$. By Lemma 2.3, there is a surjective linear isometry R such that $R(x_0) = x_1$ and $\|R - \text{Id}_H\| = \|x_0 - x_1\| < 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Define $\tilde{S} = S \circ R$. Since Schatten norms are isometrically invariant, $\sigma_p(\tilde{S}) = \sigma_p(S \circ R) = \sigma_p(S)$, and $\tilde{S} \in S_p(H)$. Clearly, $\|\tilde{S}x_0\| = \|(S \circ R)(x_0)\| = \|Sx_1\| = 1$ and $\|\tilde{S}\| = \|S \circ R\| = \|S\| = 1$. Finally, by using Hölder's inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(T - \tilde{S}) = \sigma_p(T - S \circ R) &\leq \sigma_p(T - S) + \sigma_p(S - S \circ R) \\ &\leq \sigma_p(T - S) + \sigma_p(S(\text{Id}_H - R)) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon M + \sigma_p(S)\|\text{Id}_H - R\| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon M + 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\sigma_p(T) + \sigma_p(S - T)) \\ &< 2\varepsilon M + 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1 + 2\varepsilon)M. \end{aligned}$$

Notice from the monotonicity property (2) of Schatten p -norm that $\|T - \tilde{S}\| < 2\varepsilon M + 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1 + 2\varepsilon)M$. \square

Let us notice the following about Theorem 3.1. From the first part of the proof, we have that when $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a positive operator with norm 1 and $\|Tx_0\| > 1 - \varepsilon^2/4$ for some $x_0 \in S_H$, there exists a self-adjoint operator $R = Tf(T) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ which attains the norm at $x_1 \in S_H$ with $\|x_1 - x_0\| < 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and satisfies $\|R - T\| < \varepsilon$. Note that

$$\langle Rx, x \rangle = \langle E(A)x, x \rangle + \left\langle \int_B z dE(z)x, x \right\rangle,$$

for every $x \in H$, where $A = \{z \in \sigma(T) : z > 1 - \varepsilon\}$ and $B = \{z \in \sigma(T) : 0 \leq z \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$. Since $E(A)$ is a self-adjoint projection (so, the set function $E_{x,x}$ is a positive measure on Borel subsets of $\sigma(T)$),

$$\langle E(A)x, x \rangle = \|E(A)x\|^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_B z dE_{x,x}(z) \geq 0.$$

It follows that R is a positive operator. Therefore, if we start with a positive operator (resp. positive Schatten-von Neumann operator), then we end up with another positive operator (resp. positive Schatten-von Neumann operator). It is clear that the operator $R = Tf(T)$ above is compact whenever T is

compact positive and that $S = U|T|f(|T|)$ is self-adjoint and normal whenever T is self-adjoint and normal, respectively. It is also easy to check that the class of positive operators satisfies the condition in Theorem 2.5. Thus, to sum it up, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.2. Let H be a complex Hilbert space.

- (a) H has the BPBpp for positive operators.
- (b) H has the BPBpp for compact positive operators.
- (c) H has the BPBpp for positive Schatten-von Neumann operators.
- (d) H has the BPBpp for self-adjoint Schatten-von Neumann operators.
- (e) H has the BPBpp for normal Schatten-von Neumann operators.

4. THE BISHOP-PHELPS-BOLLOBÁS POINT PROPERTY FOR NUMERICAL RADIUS

In this section, we consider the analogue of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 for the BPBpp- ν .

Theorem 4.1. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Then,

- (a) H has the BPBpp- ν for operators.
- (b) H has the BPBpp- ν for self-adjoint operators.
- (c) H has the BPBpp- ν for compact self-adjoint operators
- (d) H has the BPBpp- ν for anti-symmetric operators.
- (e) H has the BPBpp- ν for unitary operators.
- (f) H has the BPBpp- ν for normal operators.
- (g) H has the BPBpp- ν for compact normal operators.
- (h) H has the BPBpp- ν for compact operators.
- (i) H has the BPBpp- ν for Schatten-von Neumann operators.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be given. By [30, Corollary 7], there exists $\varepsilon \mapsto \eta(\varepsilon)$ such that whenever $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $\nu(T) = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_H$ satisfy

$$(4) \quad |\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| > 1 - \min\{\varepsilon, \eta(\varepsilon)\}$$

there are $\tilde{S} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ with $\nu(\tilde{S}) = 1$ and $x_\infty \in S_H$ such that

$$|\langle \tilde{S}x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle| = 1, \quad \|x_\infty - x_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{S} - T\| < \varepsilon$$

Following the proofs of [30, Proposition 4 and Proposition 6], one can observe that the operators $\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{\nu(T_\infty)}T_\infty$ and T_∞ is constructed from a limit of a sequence of operators $\{T_n\}$, where

$$(5) \quad T_n = T + K_n \quad \text{and} \quad K_n = \alpha_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \langle \cdot, x_1 \rangle x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)^n \langle \cdot, x_n \rangle x_n$$

for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ in $S_{\mathbb{C}}$ and vectors x_1, \dots, x_n in S_H (and hence $|1 - \nu(T_\infty)| = |\nu(T) - \nu(T_\infty)| \leq \|T - T_\infty\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4-\varepsilon} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, so $\nu(T_\infty) > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} > 0$). At the same time, the vector x_∞ is obtained as the limit of the sequence of vectors $\{x_n\}$ satisfying

$$(6) \quad \nu(T_\infty) = \lim_n \nu(T_n) = \lim_n |\langle T_n x_n, x_n \rangle| = |\langle T_\infty x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle|.$$

It follows that \tilde{S} is compact whenever T is compact. Thus, (a) and (h) hold by applying Theorem 2.5.

To observe (b) and (c), we assume that the above $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a self-adjoint operator (resp. compact self-adjoint operator). Since $\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, we may assume that $\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle > 0$ (otherwise, we would work with $-T$). For some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\langle \tilde{S}x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle = e^{i\theta} |\langle \tilde{S}x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle| = e^{i\theta} \in S_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Set $r := \langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We have that $\langle (e^{-i\theta}\tilde{S})x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle = 1$ and that

$$|e^{i\theta} - r| = |\langle \tilde{S}x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle - \langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| \leq \|\tilde{S} - T\| + 2\|x_\infty - x_0\| < 3\varepsilon.$$

So,

$$|e^{i\theta} - 1| \leq |e^{i\theta} - r| + |r - 1| < 4\varepsilon.$$

Since $\|\tilde{S}\| \leq 2\nu(\tilde{S}) = 2$, we get

$$\|\tilde{S} - (e^{-i\theta}\tilde{S})\| \leq |1 - e^{-i\theta}|\|\tilde{S}\| \leq 2|e^{i\theta} - 1| < 8\varepsilon,$$

which implies that

$$\|(e^{-i\theta}\tilde{S}) - T\| \leq \|(e^{-i\theta}\tilde{S}) - \tilde{S}\| + \|\tilde{S} - T\| < 9\varepsilon.$$

Note that we just proved that the operator (resp. compact operator) $S' := (e^{-i\theta}\tilde{S}) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ satisfies

$$\nu(S') = \operatorname{Re} \langle S'x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle = 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \|x_\infty - x_0\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|S' - T\| < 9\varepsilon.$$

Now define $S := \frac{S' + (S')^*}{2} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Then S is self-adjoint (resp. compact self-adjoint), $\nu(S) = \|S\| \leq 1$, and

$$|\langle Sx_\infty, x_\infty \rangle| = \left| \frac{1}{2} \langle S'x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\langle S'x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle} \right| = \operatorname{Re} \langle S'x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle = 1.$$

Hence, $\nu(S) = |\langle Sx_\infty, x_\infty \rangle| = 1$. Finally, since $T = T^*$, we have

$$\|S - T\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|S' - T\| + \frac{1}{2}\|(S')^* - T\| = \frac{1}{2}\|S' - T\| + \frac{1}{2}\|(S')^* - T^*\| < 9\varepsilon,$$

which completes the proof of (b) and (c) due to Theorem 2.5. Note that (d) follows directly from (b).

Next, we prove (i) by approximating a given Schatten-von Neumann operator by some operator in the same class in the Schatten p -norm, which will imply that H has the BPBpp- ν for Schatten-von Neumann operators due to the monotonicity (2). Indeed, suppose that $T \in S_p(H)$ with $\nu(T) = 1$ satisfies (4) with the same $\varepsilon \mapsto \eta(\varepsilon)$ for some $x_0 \in S_H$. Note that the finite rank operator K_n in (5) belongs to $S_p(H)$, so $T_n \in S_p(H)$. Also, $\sigma_p(T_{n+1} - T_n) = \varepsilon^{n+1}/4^{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $\{T_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $S_p(H)$ in Schatten p -norm. Since $T_n \rightarrow T_\infty$ in the operator norm, we conclude that $T_\infty \in S_p(H)$, $T_n \rightarrow T_\infty$ in the Schatten p -norm, and $\sigma_p(T_\infty - T) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4-\varepsilon} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. So, $\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{\nu(T_\infty)}T_\infty \in S_p(H)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(\tilde{S} - T_\infty) &= \sigma_p\left(\left(\frac{1 - \nu(T_\infty)}{\nu(T_\infty)}\right)T_\infty\right) = \left|\frac{1 - \nu(T_\infty)}{\nu(T_\infty)}\right| \sigma_p(T_\infty) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) \sigma_p(T_\infty) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) (\sigma_p(T) + \sigma_p(T_\infty - T)) \\ &< \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) (M + \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_p(T) \leq M$ for some $M > 0$, we obtain

$$\sigma_p(\tilde{S} - T) \leq \sigma_p(\tilde{S} - T_\infty) + \sigma_p(T_\infty - T) < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}\right) (M + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon.$$

Applying Theorem 2.5, we finish the proof of (i).

We prove (e) directly. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be given and $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be unitary, so $\nu(T) = \|T\| = 1$. Now pick $x_0 \in S_H$ be such that $|\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle = e^{i\theta} |\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_0 - e^{i\theta}x_0\|^2 &= \|Tx_0\|^2 + \|x_0\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \langle Tx_0, e^{i\theta}x_0 \rangle \\ &= 2 - 2|\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| \\ &< 2 - 2\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\right) = \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\|Tx_0 - e^{i\theta}x_0\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\|Tx_0\| = \|e^{i\theta}x_0\| = 1$, by Lemma 2.3 there is a surjective linear isometry $R \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ which maps Tx_0 to $e^{i\theta}x_0$ and $\|R - \operatorname{Id}_H\| < \varepsilon$. Let us notice the obvious fact that a rotation

of T is also unitary if T is unitary. Define $S := R \circ T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Then S is unitary (so, $\nu(S) = \|S\| = 1$), $|\langle Sx_0, x_0 \rangle| = |\langle e^{i\theta}x_0, x_0 \rangle| = 1$, and $\|S - T\| = \|R \circ T - T\| \leq \|R - \text{Id}_H\| \|T\| = \|R - \text{Id}_H\| < \varepsilon$.

It remains to prove (f) and (g). Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be given. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is a normal operator with $\|T\| = \nu(T) = 1$ and $|\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle| > 1 - \varepsilon$ for some $x_0 \in S_H$. If $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle e^{i\theta} = |\langle Tx_0, x_0 \rangle|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(e^{i\theta}x_0) - x_0\|^2 &= \langle T(e^{i\theta}x_0) - x_0, T(e^{i\theta}x_0) - x_0 \rangle \\ &= \|Tx_0\|^2 + \|x_0\|^2 - \langle T(e^{i\theta}x_0), x_0 \rangle - \langle x_0, T(e^{i\theta}x_0) \rangle \\ &< 2 - 2(1 - \varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\|T(e^{i\theta}x_0) - x_0\| < \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$. Let E be the corresponding spectral measure of T and consider the following orthogonal decomposition: $x_0 = x_1 + x_2$, where

$$x_1 = E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))(x_0), \quad x_2 = E(\sigma(T) \cap B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))(x_0)$$

and let N_1 and N_2 be defined as

$$N_1 = \int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \frac{z}{|z|} dE(z) \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = \int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z),$$

where $B(\delta)$ denotes the closed disk centered at the origin with radius $\delta > 0$ in \mathbb{C} . From [18, Theorem 3.1], we notice that $\|x_1\| \geq 1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$, $\|x_2\| \leq \sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}$ and moreover if we let $x_\varepsilon = x_1/\|x_1\|$, then $\|x_\varepsilon - x_0\| \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon} + \sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(e^{i\theta}x_\varepsilon) - x_\varepsilon\| &= \frac{1}{\|x_1\|} \|T(e^{i\theta}x_1) - x_1\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\|x_1\|} (\|T(e^{i\theta}x_0) - x_0\| + \|T(e^{i\theta}x_2) - x_2\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}} (\sqrt{2\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Note now that

$$\|N_1x_\varepsilon\|^2 = \langle E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))x_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle x_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon \rangle = 1,$$

because x_ε belongs to the range of $E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))$. Clearly the range space $K := \text{ran}E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))$ is a closed subspace of H . By Lemma 2.3, there is a surjective isometry $\tilde{R} \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ such that $\tilde{R}x_\varepsilon = N_1(e^{i\theta}x_\varepsilon)$ and $\|\tilde{R} - \text{Id}_K\| = \|x_\varepsilon - N_1(e^{i\theta}x_\varepsilon)\|$, because $\text{ran}N_1 \subset K$. Since $E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))$ is a self-adjoint projection, it allows us to write the Hilbert space H in the following way $H = K \oplus K'$, where $K' := \ker E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))$.

Let us define the operator $R \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ as $R = \tilde{R} \oplus \text{Id}_{K'}$, that is, $R(x + y) = \tilde{R}(x) + y$ for $x \in K$ and $y \in K'$. Since \tilde{R} is a surjective isometry, so is R . The adjoint R^* of R is given by $R^* = (\tilde{R})^* \oplus \text{Id}_{K'}$. We claim that the operator $R^* \circ N_1$ is also a normal operator. To see this, note first that

$$(R^*N_1)(R^*N_1)^* = R^*E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))R,$$

and

$$(R^*N_1)^*(R^*N_1) = E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})).$$

Now, if $x \in K$, we have

$$[R^*E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))R](x) = R^*(Rx) = x \quad \text{and} \quad E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))(x) = x.$$

If $x \in K'$, we have

$$[R^*E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))R](x) = R^*(E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))x) = 0, \quad E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))(x) = 0.$$

This observation shows that $R^*E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))R = E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))$ and the claim is proved. We define the operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ by

$$S = R^* \circ N_1 + N_2.$$

To see that S is a normal operator, it suffices to check that $R^* \circ N_1$ and N_2 commute with each other. Indeed, from

$$\operatorname{ran} N_2 \subset \ker N_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{ran} R^* N_1 \subset \operatorname{ran} E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})) \subset \ker N_2,$$

we obtain that $(R^* N_1) N_2 = 0 = N_2 (R^* N_1)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sx\|^2 &= \|R^* N_1 x + N_2 x\|^2 \\ &= \|R^* N_1 x_1\|^2 + \|N_2 x_2\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $x = x_1 + x_2 \in K \oplus K'$, because $\operatorname{ran} R^* N_1 \subset K$ and $\operatorname{ran} N_2 \subset K'$. This implies that $\|S\| \leq 1$. Now, note that

$$|\langle Sx_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon \rangle| = |\langle R^* N_1 x_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon \rangle| = |\langle N_1 x_\varepsilon, R x_\varepsilon \rangle| = 1.$$

This shows that $\nu(S) \geq 1$; hence $\|S\| = \nu(S) = 1$. To assert that S is the desired normal operator, it only remains to show that S is close to T . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S - T\| &= \left\| R^* N_1 - \int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z) \right\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{R} - \operatorname{Id}_K\| + \left\| \int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{z}{|z|} - z \right) dE(z) \right\| \\ &\leq \|\tilde{R} - \operatorname{Id}_K\| + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

because $|z/|z| - z| \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$ for all $z \in \sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{R} - \operatorname{Id}_K\| &= \|x_\varepsilon - N_1(e^{i\theta} x_\varepsilon)\| \\ &\leq \|x_\varepsilon - T(e^{i\theta} x_\varepsilon)\| + \|T(e^{i\theta} x_\varepsilon) - N_1(e^{i\theta} x_\varepsilon)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}} (\sqrt{2\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}) + \left\| \left(\int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \left(z - \frac{z}{|z|} \right) dE(z) \right) (e^{i\theta} x_\varepsilon) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}} (\sqrt{2\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$\|S - T\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}} (\sqrt{2\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}) + 2\sqrt{2\varepsilon}.$$

In summary, we construct the normal operator S and $x_\varepsilon \in S_H$ satisfying:

$$\nu(S) = |\langle Sx_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon \rangle| = 1, \quad \|x_\varepsilon - x_0\| \leq \sqrt{2\varepsilon} + \sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|S - T\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}} (\sqrt{2\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon}) + 2\sqrt{2\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore, (f) follows again by using Theorem 2.5.

To prove (g), we only need to show that the operator S in the proof of (f) is compact when T is compact and normal. To prove that S is compact, since $S = R^* \circ N_1 + N_2$, it suffices to show that N_1 and N_2 are compact. Recall that

$$N_1 = \int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \frac{z}{|z|} dE(z) \quad \text{and} \quad N_2 = \int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z)$$

and observe from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\operatorname{ran} N_1 \subset \operatorname{ran} E(\sigma(T) \setminus B(1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}))$$

is of finite dimension. Thus, N_1 is compact. To see that N_2 is compact, we let $0 < \varepsilon' < 1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$ be given. Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z) - \left(\int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z) \right) E(\Delta_{\varepsilon'}) &= \int_{\sigma(T)} z \chi_{B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})}(z) \chi_{B(\varepsilon')}(z) dE(z) \\ &= \int_{\sigma(T)} z \chi_{B(\varepsilon')}(z) dE(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{\varepsilon'} = \{z \in \sigma(T) : |z| > \varepsilon'\}$. It follows that

$$\left\| \int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z) - \left(\int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z) \right) E(\Delta_{\varepsilon'}) \right\| = \left\| \int_{\sigma(T)} z \chi_{B(\varepsilon')}(z) dE(z) \right\| \leq \varepsilon'.$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon' < 1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}$ is arbitrary and $(\int_{\sigma(T) \cap B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z))E(\Delta_{\varepsilon'})$ is a finite rank operator, we conclude that N_2 is compact. \square

As in Proposition 3.2, we would like to get more information from Theorem 4.1. Notice first that the operator \tilde{S} which appears in the first part of the proof of Theorem 4.1 satisfies that $\tilde{S} = \frac{1}{\nu(T_\infty)} T_\infty$, where T_∞ is obtained from a limit of a sequence of operators $\{T_n\}$ (see (5)). Moreover, the argument used in the proof of [30, Proposition 4] allows us to choose such $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ to be 1 when we start with the assumption that T is positive. Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K_n x, x \rangle &= \left\langle \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) \langle x, x_1 \rangle x_1 + \dots + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right)^n \langle x, x_n \rangle x_n, x \right\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right) |\langle x, x_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right)^n |\langle x, x_n \rangle|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in H$, so T_n is a positive operator. It follows that \tilde{S} is a positive operator which satisfies

$$\langle \tilde{S} x_\infty, x_\infty \rangle = 1, \quad \|x_\infty - x_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{S} - T\| < \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, we can argue as in (b) and (c) of Theorem 4.1 to get the last item of the following result.

Proposition 4.2. Let H be a complex Hilbert space.

- (a) H has the BPBpp- ν for positive operators.
- (b) H has the BPBpp- ν for compact positive operators.
- (c) H has the BPBpp- ν for positive Schatten-von Neumann operators.
- (d) H has the BPBpp- ν for self-adjoint Schatten-von Neumann operators.

Comparing Proposition 4.2 with Proposition 3.2, we see that it is missing the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property for numerical radius for normal Schatten-von Neumann operators. Since this result requires a little more of effort, we highlight it in the next proposition followed by its proof.

Proposition 4.3. A complex Hilbert space H has the BPBpp- ν for normal Schatten-von Neumann operators.

Proof. Let T be a normal Schatten-von Neumann operator with $\nu(T) = \|T\| = 1$ and $x_0 \in S_H$ be such that $|\langle T x_0, x_0 \rangle| > 1 - \varepsilon$. Suppose that $\sigma_p(T) \leq M$ for some positive number $M > 0$. Let $S = R^* \circ N_1 + N_2$,

where R , N_1 , and N_2 are the operators defined in the proof of (f) and (g) of Theorem 4.1. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(S - T) &= \sigma_p \left(R^* N_1 - \int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} z dE(z) \right) \\ &\leq \sigma_p(R^* N_1 - N_1) + \sigma_p \left(\int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right) z dE(z) \right) \\ &\leq \|\tilde{R} - \text{Id}_K\| \sigma_p(N_1) + \sigma_p \left(\int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right) z dE(z) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition of N_1 , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p(N_1) &= \sigma_p \left(\int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \frac{z}{|z|} dE(z) \right) \\ &= \sigma_p \left(\left(\int_{\sigma(T)} \frac{1}{|z|} \chi_{\Delta_\varepsilon} dE(z) \right) \left(\int_{\sigma(T)} z dE(z) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{\sigma(T)} \frac{1}{|z|} \chi_{\Delta_\varepsilon} dE(z) \right\| \sigma_p(T) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_\varepsilon = \{z \in \sigma(T) : |z| > 1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}\}$. Similarly, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_p \left(\int_{\sigma(T) \setminus B(1-\sqrt{2\varepsilon})} \left(\frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right) z dE(z) \right) &\leq \left\| \int_{\sigma(T)} \left(\frac{1 - |z|}{|z|} \right) \chi_{\Delta_\varepsilon} dE(z) \right\| \sigma_p(T) \\ &\leq \frac{M\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows, in particular, that S is a normal Schatten-von Neumann operator and

$$\sigma_p(S - T) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \left(\sqrt{2\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt[4]{2\varepsilon} \right) + \sqrt{2\varepsilon} \right) \frac{M}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}} + \frac{M\sqrt{2\varepsilon}}{1 - \sqrt{2\varepsilon}}.$$

□

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Miguel Martín for kindly answering some inquiries about this topic.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.D. Acosta, Operadores que alcanzan su radio numérico, PhD dissertation, Universidad de Granada, 1990.
- [2] M.D. Acosta, Denseness of norm attaining mappings, *Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat* **100** (2006), 9-30.
- [3] M.D. Acosta, R.M. Aron, D. García and M. Maestre, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 2780-2799.
- [4] M.D. Acosta, J. Becerra-Guerrero, D. García and M. Maestre, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem for bilinear forms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), 5911-5932.
- [5] M.D. Acosta, M. Fakhar, and M. Soleimani-Mourchekhorti, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for numerical radius of operators on $L_1(\mu)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **458** (2018), 925-936.
- [6] M.D. Acosta, M. Mastyło, and M. Soleimani-Mourchekhorti, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás and approximate hyperplane series properties, *J. Funct. Anal.* **274** (2018), no. 9, 2673-2699.
- [7] M.D. Acosta, R. Payá, Numerical radius attaining operators and the Radon-Nikodým property, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **25** (1993), no. 1, 67-73.
- [8] F.L. Bauer, On the field of values subordinate to a norm, *Numer. Math.* **4** (1962), 103-111.
- [9] I.D. Berg, B. Sims, Denseness of operators which attain their numerical radius *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **3** (1989), 130-133.

- [10] E. Bishop and R.R. Phelps, A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **67** (1961), 97-98.
- [11] B. Bollobás, An extension to the theorem of Bishop and Phelps, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* **2** (1970), 181-182.
- [12] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 2, Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- [13] F.F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Numerical Ranges II, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 10, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [14] J. Bourgain, On dentability and the Bishop-Phelps property, *Israel J. Math.* **28** (1977), 265-271.
- [15] C.S. Cardassi, Density of numerical radius attaining operators on some reflexive spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **31**(1985), 1-3.
- [16] C.S. Cardassi, Numerical radius-attaining operators on $C(K)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1985), 537-543.
- [17] C.S. Cardassi, Numerical radius-attaining operators, in Banach spaces, *Proceedings Missouri 1984*, pp. 11-14, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1166, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [18] L.X. Cheng and Y.B. Dong, A quantitative version of the Bishop-Phelps theorem for operators in Hilbert spaces, *Acta Math. Sin.* **28**(10) (2012), 2107-2114.
- [19] J.B. Conway, *A course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd edn, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [20] S. Dantas, D. García, M. Maestre, and M. Martín, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for compact operators, *Canad. J. Math.* **70** (2018), no. 1, 53-73.
- [21] S. Dantas, V. Kadets, S. K. Kim, H. J. Lee, and M. Martín, On the pointwise Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for operators, *Canad. J. Math.* **71**(6) (2019), 1421-1443.
- [22] S. Dantas, S.K. Kim and H.J. Lee, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás point property, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **444** (2016), 1739-1751.
- [23] S. Dantas, S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, and M. Mazzitelli, On various types of density of numerical radius attaining operators, in preparation.
- [24] J. Falcó, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for numerical radius on L_1 , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **414** (2014), 125-133.
- [25] D. García, H.J. Lee and M. Maestre, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for hermitian forms on Hilbert spaces, *Quart. J. Math.* **65** (2014), 201-209.
- [26] A.J. Guirao and O. Kozhushkina, The Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for numerical radius in $\ell_1(\mathbb{C})$, *Studia Math.* **218** (2013), 41-54.
- [27] P.R. Halmos A Hilbert space problem book, Van Nostrand, New York, 1967.
- [28] S. K. Kim and H. J. Lee, Uniform convexity and the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property, *Canad. J. Math.* **66**, (2014), 373-386.
- [29] S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee, M. Martín and J. Merí, On a second numerical index for Banach spaces, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh: Sect. A.* 1-49. <https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2018.75>doi:10.1017/prm.2018.75.
- [30] S.K. Kim, H.J. Lee and M. Martín, On the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás property for numerical radius, *Abs. Appl. Anal.* vol. 2014, Article ID 479208, 15 pages, 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/479208>doi:10.1155/2014/479208.
- [31] J. Lindenstrauss, On operators which attain their norm, *Israel J. Math.* **1** (1963), 139-148.
- [32] G. Lumer, Semi-inner-product spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100** (1961), 29-43.
- [33] J.R. Ringrose, Compact non-self-adjoint operators, van Nostrand, 1971.
- [34] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- [35] B. Sims, On numerical range and its application to Banach algebras, PhD dissertation, University of Newcastle, Australia, 1972.
- [36] C. Stegall, Optimization of functions on certain subsets of Banach spaces, *Math. Ann.* **236** (1978), 171-176.
- [37] O. Toeplitz, Das algebraische Analogon zu einem Satze von Fejér, *Math. Z.* **2** (1918), 187-197.

(Choi) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POSTECH, POHANG 790-784, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: mathchoi@postech.ac.kr

(Dantas) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, TECHNICKÁ 2, 166 27 PRAGUE 6, CZECH REPUBLIC

ORCID: [0000-0001-8117-3760](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8117-3760)

Email address: gildashe@fel.cvut.cz

(Jung) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POSTECH, POHANG 790-784, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

ORCID: [0000-0003-2240-2855](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2240-2855)

Email address: jmingoo@postech.ac.kr